cut back and narrow the base with exemptions and tax credits or tax breaks or whatever, in some cases justified, as it sounds like in this case, in other cases not. I think we have to be ever vigilant about narrowing the tax base and I don't know how many times we come with bills that actually broaden it. It doesn't happen very often but I guess the point I'm trying to make is that this is moving along fairly easily and had it been a reverse situation it would have been a much more difficult process. But, anyway, I understand and I appreciate the explanations. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Is there any...thank you, Senator Wesely. Is there any further discussion on the advancement of LB 430? Seeing none, the question before the body is the advancement of LB 430. All those in favor say aye. All those opposed nay. LB 430 advances. LB 49...or items for the record.

CLERK: Madam President, thank you. New A bill, LB 592A. (Read for the first time by title. See page 1492 of the Legislative Journal.)

Reference report referring LR 81 to the Government Committee for public hearing. Senator Landis has amendments to LB 371 to be printed; Senator Lindsay, LB 837; Senator Bohlke, to LB 406; Senator Brashear, to LB 399. (See pages 1472-76 of the Legislative Journal.)

Madam President, the next bill, LB 494, on Select File. I have E & R amendments pending, Senator.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Maurstad.

SENATOR MAURSTAD: Madam President, I would move to adopt the E & R amendments to LB 494.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question before the body is the adoption of the E & R amendments. All those in favor say aye. All those opposed nay. The amendments are adopted.

CLERK: Senator Fisher would move to amend his bill. (AM0949 appears on page 1099 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The Chair recognizes Senator Fisher.